

AREX message exchange customers

Guide for applying for summary declaration customer status

10.12.2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 MESSAGE DECLARANTS SUBMITTING SUMMARY DECLARATIONS (AREX)	2
1.1 Transaction channels used in message exchange for AREX	2
2 APPLYING FOR AN AUTHORISATION TO USE MESSAGE EXCHANGE	2
2.1 Requirements for message exchange.....	2
2.2 Applying for a message exchange authorization.....	3
3 ADVICE TO BUSINESSES AND AGREEMENTS ON TESTING	3
4 TESTING PROCEDURE FOR AREX DECLARANTS	3
4.1 Technical testing of the connection for direct message exchange.....	4
4.1.1 AREX message declarants changing over from operator-based message exchange to direct message exchange.....	4
4.2 The purpose and course of the technical testing	5
4.3 Passing the technical testing phase	5
5 PARALLEL TESTING	5
5.1 Material used in parallel testing	5
5.2 The purpose and course of the parallel testing.....	6
6 CHANGING OVER TO MESSAGE EXCHANGE	6
6.1 Changing over from communications via an operator to direct message exchange.....	6
6.2 Responsibility for message follow-up.....	6
6.3 Problem situations	6
7 CHANGE OF CUSTOMS CLEARANCE SOFTWARE	6
8 FURTHER INFORMATION	7
9 SPECIFICATIONS AND COMPLETION INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE TECHNICAL TEST CASES	7
9.1 Trader data to be used in testing	7
9.2 General completion instructions for test cases	7
9.3. Specifications for technical test cases	8
9.3 Description of technical test cases	8
9.4 Test cases for technical customer testing	8

I MESSAGE DECLARANTS SUBMITTING SUMMARY DECLARATIONS (AREX)

An AREX EDI sender (message declarant) is a customer of Customs who submits their own summary declarations or those of a client as XML standard messages.

1.1 Transaction channels used in message exchange for AREX

- In direct message exchange, the message declarant sends messages in XML format to Customs via a public message interface by connecting to a public network (Internet).
- In operator-based message exchange, a company uses the services of data communications operators approved by Customs to submit messages. Operator-based message exchange will remain as a supporting transaction channel, but it is not for new customers.

2 APPLYING FOR AN AUTHORISATION TO USE MESSAGE EXCHANGE

2.1 Requirements for message exchange

- An AREX message declarant must have an EORI registration. If the business has already registered as a customs clearance customer with Finnish Customs, it will automatically have been registered as an EORI trader based on its business ID (format: FI1234567-8). If the message declarant operates as an agent on behalf of another trader responsible for submitting AREX declarations, the principal must also be registered for EORI. EORI customer authorisation is required of both the message declarant and the principal whose cases are used as test material in the parallel testing. The validity of the EORI number can be checked from the EORI database managed by the Commission.
- The business must have access to the use of software that can generate the required messages in accordance with the declaration standards provided by Customs. Customs does not provide the required software, but instead companies should contact their own software suppliers. The list of suppliers of direct message exchange software is available on the website tulli.fi:

[Companies who provide customs clearance software for message exchange](#)

Descriptions of the message exchange procedure and required data content are available on the Customs website [Message exchange](#)

- In direct message exchange, companies must acquire a certificate granted by the Population Register Centre for creating a connection.
 - Customs authenticates the message builder and the intermediary with the certificates. An XML format message created by the message builder is signed digitally using the certificate. Customs identifies the business ID of the message builder from the certificate in the XML signature.
 - The server certificate is acquired by the company that builds and transmits messages to Customs' direct message interface. If the message declarant carries out these phases, the server certificate is to be acquired for the message declarant. If a service provider is used for building and transmitting messages on behalf of the message declarant, the server certificate is to be acquired by the service provider.

More information about acquiring a certificate is available in the document "Direct Message exchange with Finnish Customs: Technical guidebook" here [Message exchange](#)

- In order to be approved as a message declarant for export, the applicant company must test its customs clearance software and network connections with Customs. Customs will also conduct testing with the software suppliers that provide customs clearance software. Testing is described in more detail in chapters 3 and 4.

2.2 Applying for a message exchange authorization

Authorisation to act as a message declarant is applied for using customs form No. 934e_14, "Application for message exchange with Finnish Customs".

In direct message exchange, a company acting as message declarant and service provider must apply for authorisation to use direct message exchange. The application form is available on the Finnish Customs website at www.tulli.fi

3 ADVICE TO BUSINESSES AND AGREEMENTS ON TESTING

After Customs has processed a company's application for message sender status, the testing official at Finnish Customs contacts the company's contact person as indicated in the application, in order to set dates for the testing and for opening the connection. One free consultation visit will be arranged before testing begins. The contact person from Customs will contact the business in order to set a time for the consultation.

The consultation can be provided by phone or in person in the company's or Customs' facilities. For example, the following issues will be dealt with:

- message exchange: which messages the company must be able to send and receive;
- data content of the messages: what issues the company should keep in mind when completing electronic summary declarations and producing XML messages;
- test material; revision of test cases drafted by Customs and to be used in the testing, the XML messages to be formed based on them, and the arriving reply messages;
- questions related to the service channel;
- the company's own questions.

It is recommended that both the summary declaration experts and the message exchange experts of the company participate in the advice session. The number of the participants is not limited.

4 TESTING PROCEDURE FOR AREX DECLARANTS

The objective of testing is that the company sends as error-free messages as possible before the production phase. During testing, the company submits declaration messages with varying data content (for example complete or amended declarations) to which Customs sends reply messages (acceptance, error, request for additional information etc.). The company sends appropriate responses to the reply messages. For example, Customs corrects errors or sends additional information.

AREX customer testing is company-specific. In the case of a group of companies, the testing will be carried out separately for each company (different business IDs). Separate testing for each company office will not be carried out. Only in cases where the offices of the company use different software for submitting AREX declarations will office-specific testing be allowed.

Unless otherwise agreed, the applicant company's contact person for testing is the contact person for AREX message exchange mentioned in the application. The software supplier's representative may assist the company in the testing, but the testing is always the responsibility of the message declarant. The company will see to the costs of testing itself. If the company is planning to use in its AREX software for production such automated functionalities that have not become apparent during testing, these must be reported to the testing official. The company should reserve enough resources for carrying out customer testing within the agreed schedule.

A company acting as a message declarant is responsible for ensuring that the personnel completing AREX declarations know how to submit messages. Employees completing electronic AREX declarations must participate in the testing to the maximum extent possible.

The AREX customer testing involves two phases: technical and parallel testing. The technical testing is carried out using the standard format Customs test cases. Parallel testing is done using the company's own material. The sooner the technical testing is completed, the more time will be allowed for the parallel testing. The time reserved for AREX customer testing usually amounts to three to five weeks depending on the type of declarations the business will lodge and the modes of transport involved. The company must commit to the agreed testing schedule. If the business does not adhere to the agreed schedule, the testing will be interrupted and a new schedule for subsequent testing must be agreed upon.

4.1 Technical testing of the connection for direct message exchange

The aim of the test cases for testing the technical connection (3+1 for the Message Notification Service) is to ensure that the customer's software and the Customs web service for direct message exchange are compatible. As the web service acts as a transport layer for export declarations, the aim is to ensure the technical functionality of the connection before the testing with summary export declaration messages is started.

If the company is using for message transmission a party already acting via direct message exchange, the technical testing of the connection is optional. More specific instructions for testing the technical connection are sent to the company in connection with agreeing on the testing time. The company may also start using the message notification service for direct message exchange.

You will find more information on direct message exchange in the document "Message exchange with Finnish Customs: Technical guidebook".

4.1.1 AREX message declarants changing over from operator-based message exchange to direct message exchange

- **Technical testing of the connection for direct message exchange.** The testing of the connection is optional if the company is already using direct message exchange with a Customs system, or if the company uses a service provider that has already carried out the technical testing of the communications.
- **AREX message testing:**

- Using some of the test cases prepared by Customs (the number of test cases is to be agreed with the testing official).

4.2 The purpose and course of the technical testing

The purpose of the technical testing is to ensure that the communications are working and that the messages sent by the customer comply with Customs' specifications. The testing aims to ascertain that the customer is able to receive all the reply messages sent by Customs and understands what the messages mean.

The Customs testing official at the Electronic Service Centre carries out the customer testing in cooperation with the customer who is applying for message exchange status. The testing is conducted in the customer testing environment of the ARES application.

The testing official will e-mail the company the test cases drawn up by Customs that will be used in the testing. The number of cases to be tested depends on the type of messages submitted by the customer and on the modes of transport involved. The company's tester sends the messages corresponding to test cases in the prescribed order to the customer testing environment in accordance with the testing schedule agreed with Customs. The testing official ensures that the communications are working and that the messages sent by the company comply with the message specifications of Customs. The testing official will inform the company's contact person of any errors that have emerged during the testing, after which the company's tester corrects the errors.

4.3 Passing the technical testing phase

The technical testing is completed when all the prescribed test cases have been carried out in a satisfactory manner, when the response messages sent by Customs have been tested and when the errors and deficiencies that have emerged during testing have been rectified. The testing official will inform the company's contact person by e-mail of the successful completion of testing and of the transition to the second stage, parallel testing. Parallel testing is conducted at least for summary declarations (IE315, IE344 and IE615)

5 PARALLEL TESTING

5.1 Material used in parallel testing

The company should provide the Customs testing official with their proposed parallel testing material before the start of the technical testing. The parallel testing material consists of the company's transport documents used in the ARES declaration messages, e.g. bills of lading or air waybills. How many parallel test cases are required depends on the number of messages to be submitted and the number of transport modes to be used. As a rule, there should be at least three parallel test cases passed without errors or failures per each submitted message type and each transport mode. The parallel test material should contain as comprehensive an account as possible of the messages to be lodged by the company, and of any foreseeable exceptional situations that are likely to occur on a regular basis. If the suggested number and scope of the parallel test cases are such that the tests cannot be carried out in the allotted time, the number and content of the parallel test cases can be restricted by Customs.

5.2 The purpose and course of the parallel testing

The objective of testing is for the company to send messages that are as free of errors as possible once it has moved on to the production phase. The parallel testing aims to ensure that the AREX messages conform to the parallel testing material provided by the company, and meet the requirements as to their content. The testing official will inform the company's contact person about any errors which have emerged during the testing and which the company's tester needs to rectify. The parallel testing can be approved when a sufficient number of test cases have been completed and the errors and deficiencies have been rectified.

6 CHANGING OVER TO MESSAGE EXCHANGE

After the testing has been successfully completed, Customs will send to the company the decision on message exchange authorisation and the accompanying test report containing information about the test phases and problems that occurred during the testing. The date when the production can be started is given in the decision.

In direct message exchange, the message declarants must ensure that the messages are sent to the production environment.

The company can start message declaration on the date specified in the authorisation. The company must notify the testing official when the first customs declaration is sent. If the first message exchange is postponed, the company must inform the testing official of the new start date. Accordingly, Customs will inform the company if the start is delayed due to a technical or other problem caused by the software of Customs. At the beginning of the message declaration production phase, the processing official at the Electronic Service Centre may request the company to provide copies of the export declarations and accompanying documents.

6.1 Changing over from communications via an operator to direct message exchange

The AREX system can use only one of two data transmission methods; communications via an operator or direct message exchange. The customer tester agrees the transfer date and time with the customer.

6.2 Responsibility for message follow-up

Message declarants for export must see to message follow-up, i.e. make sure that responses are received for each sent message. A response message from Customs must be replied to as requested, for example with a corrected message, if Customs sends an error message as response message.

6.3 Problem situations

In case of problems with production, the company should first contact their own software supplier and operator or possibly their service provider and, if necessary, the Electronic Service Centre.

7 CHANGE OF CUSTOMS CLEARANCE SOFTWARE

If the company changes its customs clearance software or starts using a new version of it or changes their service provider or operator, it must file a notice of change, in order to establish the need for testing. A new version, software or message exchange connection cannot be used prior to approval by Customs.

8 FURTHER INFORMATION

Further information is available in the guides on direct message exchange available on the Customs website:

Message exchange with Finnish Customs: Technical guidebook, and

Message exchange with Finnish Customs: Introduction to message exchange with Finnish Customs.

The guides are available on the Customs website.

9 SPECIFICATIONS AND COMPLETION INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE TECHNICAL TEST CASES

9.1 Trader data to be used in testing

The following traders have been used as examples in the technical test cases:

- **Huolintatesti Oy** (agent) FI5342687-3, T0001, EORI and AEOC, warehouse R0001, EDI sender
- **Käteishuolintatesti Oy** (transport operator) FI7283476-8, T0001, EORI and AEOS, EDI sender
- **Arexi Oy** (company) FI1251021-9, T0001, EORI and AEOF
- **Areksin Huolinta Oy** (company) FI1659561-9, T0001, neither of the authorisations, no service channel
- **Huolinta Arex Oy FI1211101-5, T0001, neither of the authorisations, EDI declarant**
- **Testiyritys I Oy** (declarant) FI2195826-9, T0001, EORI, EDI sender
- **Tuonti Arex Oy** (declarant) FI1200484-2, T0001, EORI and AEOF, EDI sender
- **Testiareksi Ky** (declarant) FI1191507-0, T0001, neither of the authorisations, not an AREX customer

In technical examples, the company's own information is replaced with the information of example case operators. If the company acts as an agent, the details of the representative will be added to test cases that do not contain such information. If no agent is deployed, there is no need to specify this.

In parallel testing, actual information on the company's customers is used. However, information on the AEO status of companies is not stored separately for the testing. The afore-mentioned information on example case companies can be used also in parallel testing.

9.2 General completion instructions for test cases

If a company uses direct message exchange, the EORI number is used as sender identification.

In testing, the customer always enters test indicator “I” in the message block. Prior to the testing being started, the testing official will give the customer a string of five letters to use both in the tests and in production. The character string used in the example cases of the customer testing and defined in the “message identification” of the message block is “AREXI”, and the company replaces this with the character string that it has been assigned.

In test cases where MRNs for summary declaration, export or transit are to be entered, the Customs testing official will e-mail the necessary MRNs to the company. *9.3. Specifications for technical test cases*

9.3 Description of technical test cases

The table below shows a list of simplified descriptions of test cases. The testing official will send test cases that suit the company’s needs in PDF format and the company sends XML messages to Customs that correspond to the data content provided.

9.4 Test cases for technical customer testing

Arrival

Test 1 sea

- IE315 A vessel from Boston to Vuosaari
- IE313 Correct gross mass to 3 500 000 kgm
- IE323 Change first customs office from FI009801 to Estonia EE1110EE

Test 2 sea

- IE315 Two goods items to Vuosaari, subsequent customs office Kotka
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation to Vuosaari, unloaded to a warehouse
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation to Vuosaari, goods item continues to Kotka
- IE344 Subsequent arrival notification to Kotka (only items unloaded in Kotka)
- IE344 Correct gross mass 120000 -> 125000
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation to Kotka (only the goods item which will be unloaded in Kotka)

Test 3 sea

- IE315 Many goods items, the first has notify party

Test 4 sea

- IE315 Traders have AEO status, special circumstance indicator E
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation
- IE347 Correct warehouse FI0680042-0 -> FI5342687-3

Test 5 sea

- IE344 Goods are transferred from other vessels in Hamburg
Includes several MRN items, which include several goods items
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation, unloading indicator
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation, warehouse, date and time of arrival is given

Test 6 sea

- IE344 Same vessel continues from Gothenburg to Vuosaari. Subsequent arrival notification includes many manifest items.

Test 7 sea

IE315 A Vessel from Gothenburg to St. Petersburg and to Kotka, second item is loaded in Gothenburg, Community goods (status C), the permit for article 324 e (2YMM).

Test 8 sea

IE315 A vessel from St. Petersburg to Vuosaari, (entry carrier) trader is different from person lodging the summary declaration many containers and seals.

Test 9 sea

IE344 From Gothenburg to Vuosaari, art.448, 7MYP simplified transit permit 7MYP simplified transit permit status C (community goods), many containers and seals.

Test 10 sea

IE315a One goods item, stainless steel

IE315b Two goods items, aluminium plates and pipes

IE347 Arrival notification and presentation of both IE315 messages

IE347 Amend unloading indicator I --> unloaded to a warehouse FI5342687-3 R0001

Test 11 sea

IE315 A vessel from St. Petersburg to Kotka, subsequent customs office Helsinki, two goods items

IE3470 Arrival notification with Entry Key data

IE347 Presentation of the goods item that is to be unloaded in Kotka

Test 12 sea

IE315 FIXEV (fallback procedure) and IE399

Test 13 sea

IE344 FIXEV (fall back procedure) and IE399

Test 1 air

IE315 A flight from Delhi to Helsinki, several consignees and consignors

IE313 Correct packaging from I500 to I400, first item from 500 to 400

IE323 Change the first customs office from FI015300 to Estonia EE110EE

Test 2 air

IE315 Includes subsequent customs office Tampere

IE347 Arrival notification and presentation to Helsinki, not unloaded, items continue to Tampere

IE347 Arrival notification and presentation to Helsinki, items are unloaded in a warehouse

IE344 Subsequent arrival notification, items 3 and 4 continue to Tampere

IE347 Arrival notification and presentation to Tampere, items 3 and 4 will be unloaded

Test 3 air

IE315 Air traffic, many goods items, FIXEV and IE399

Test 4 air

IE315 Traders have AEO status, specific circumstance indicator E

IE347 Arrival notification and presentation

Test 5 air

- IE344 Two MRN items, first MRN item has several consignees and consignors on item level
 In the second MRN item the traders are given on MRN level
 The same plane continues from Germany to Helsinki
- IE344 Correct first MRN item, first goods item's consignor
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation, unloaded to a warehouse
- IE347 Amended, items will be unloaded, but not to a warehouse

Test 6 air

- IE344 Community goods, status C and T1, art.445 simplified transit permit

Test 7 air

- IE3441 Low value declaration (7VTI)

Test 8 air

- IE344 FIXEV (Fallback procedure) and IE399

Test 9 air

- IE315 One goods item and the operators at heading level
- IE3470 Arrival notification with Entry key-data
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation, unloading indicator

Test 1 road

- IE315 Traders are given on goods item level, two goods items
- IE313 Correct the package total 1 500 -> 1 300, on the first goods item 1 200 -> 1 000

Test 2 road

- IE315 Traders are given on heading level, one goods item, FIXEV and IE399 is tested

Test 3 road

- IE315 Traders have AEO status, specific circumstance indicator E, seals

Test 4 road

- IE315 (entry carrier) trader is different from person lodging the summary declaration, name continuation, container, seal, UNDG, transport charges/ method of payment H

Test 1 rail

- IE315 One goods item, traders on header level, many wagons
- IE313 Correct gross mass 25000 -> 230000 kgm
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation, unloaded to a warehouse

Test 2 rail

- IE315 Several goods items, traders on item level, UNDG, Transport charges/method of payment are given on item level
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation, items 1 and 3, unloaded, but not to a warehouse
- IE347 Arrival notification and presentation, item 2, not unloaded

Test 3 rail

IE315 Traders have AEO status, specific circumstance indicator E, name continuation

EXIT

Test 1 sea

IE615 Two goods items, traders given on goods item level, additional document 2YVP (the declaration is used as an exit summary declaration to end temporary warehousing), declared location is Z customs office FI009801

IE613 Correct gross mass 11850 -> 11550 kgm

Test 2 sea

IE615 One goods item

IE547 Export manifest presentation is test 1 and test 2

IE590 Exit notification

Test 3 sea

IE547 Export manifest presentation, ELEX MRN numbers, final and partial export

IE590 Exit notification

Test 4 sea

IE547 Arex- and Elex-MRN, final export, number of packages and gross mass given

IE547 Correct identity of means of transport crossing border 01010122 □ 01010133

IE590 Exit notification

Test 5 sea

IE547 Export MRN, number of pieces given

Test 6 sea

IE615 635T as previous administrative reference

Test 7 sea

IE547 Other export reference

Test 1 air

IE615 Two goods items, traders on item level, 2YVP (declaration is used as an exit summary declaration for terminating temporary warehousing), Location of goods L, warehouse FI5342687-3 R0003

IE613 Correct packaging from 50 to 60 and gross mass from 500 to 600

IE547 Export manifest presentation

IE590 Exit notification

Test 2 air

IE547 Export MRN numbers, includes final or partial shipment flag

IE547 Correct conveyance reference number AIR024L -> AIR034L

IE590 Exit notification

Test 3 air

IE615 Electronics from the USA transited through Finland to Russia, location of goods O geographical location, test IE399 (the declaration must be corrected)

IE547 Export manifest presentation



IE590 Exit notification

Test 4 air

IE547 The Arex MRN and Elex MRN, permanent export, packages and mass declared

IE590 Exit notification

Test 5 air

IE547 Elex MRN, number of articles declared

Test 6 air

IE547 Other export reference is declared

Test 1 road

IE615 Electronics from the USA transited through Finland to Russia, location of goods O, 2YVP (declaration is used as an exit summary declaration for terminating temporary warehousing)

IE613 Correct packaging from 525 to 625, on second goods item from 300 to 400

Test 2 road

IE615 Cylinder locks from the USA transited through Finland to Russia, location of goods Z, customs office FI009801

Test 1 rail

IE615 Granite from Canada transited through Finland to Russia, location of goods Z customs office FI542700, 2YVP (declaration is used as an exit, summary declaration for terminating temporary warehousing)

IE613 Correct gross mass from 8850 to 9850kgm

IE547 Export manifest presentation

IE590 Exit notification

Test 2 rail

IE615 Forklifts and cars from the USA through Finland to Russia, location of goods O Traders are on goods item level

Test 3 rail

IE547 Export MRN numbers, includes final or partial shipment flag

IE547 Correct conveyance reference number from 3788 to 3785

IE590 Exit notification

Test 4 rail

IE547 Arex ja Elex MRN, permanent export, packages and mass declared

IE590 Exit notification

Test 5 rail

IE547 Elex MRN, number of articles declared

Test 6 rail

IE615 Previous document 635T arrival number

Test 7 rail

IE547 Other export reference is declared

Temporary storage

1.

IE007 Two goods items, an agent is used

2.

IE007 Two goods items of which another is not in an acceptable condition and the message will be rejected.

IE007 Customs testing official gives the correct item number

1.

IE044 One MRN, two goods items of which another is OK and another has discrepancies

2.

IE044 Two MRN items, no discrepancies, an agent is used, another of the MRN's is incorrect and the message will be rejected

3.

IE044 Two MRN items, three goods items, two goods items have discrepancies